

AMENDED IN SENATE JANUARY 7, 2002

SENATE BILL

No. 180

Introduced by Senators Speier and Sher

February 6, 2001

~~An act relating to transportation, and making an appropriation therefor. An act to repeal Sections 16020.1 and 16020.2 of the Vehicle Code, relating to proof of insurance.~~

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 180, as amended, Speier. ~~Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board; San Mateo County Transportation Authority; funding; Proof of insurance; vehicles.~~

Existing law generally requires a person who applies for renewal of registration of a motor vehicle to provide proof that the applicant is in compliance with the financial responsibility laws of this state. Existing law also generally requires the driver of a motor vehicle to provide proof of financial responsibility upon demand to a peace officer or traffic collision investigator, as specified, and provides for issuance of a notice to appear for failure to do so.

Existing law, operative January 1, 2004, exempts vehicle owners residing in the City and County of San Francisco or the County of Los Angeles, and motorists who drive upon a highway in the City and County of San Francisco or the County of Los Angeles, respectively, from these financial responsibility requirements.

This bill would repeal those exemptions.

~~Existing law requires federal and state funds available for state, regional, and local transportation projects to be expended in accordance with a specified sequence of priorities.~~

~~This bill would appropriate the sum of \$225,000,000 from the General Fund to the Director of Transportation for allocation in the amount of \$150,000,000 to the governing board of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board and \$75,000,000 to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority.~~

~~The bill would require that the amount allocated to the joint powers board be expended for the purpose of constructing safety improvements along the right-of-way owned by the board, constructing grade separations along the right-of-way owned by the board, and funding matching grants for operating or capital expenses for systems of conveyance that will move people from train stations to their places of employment. The bill would prohibit the director from making an allocation for the purpose of funding the specified matching grants until the board has secured commitments from local employers, property owners, local agencies, or any combination thereof, sufficient to sustain the system of conveyance funded under a matching grant for a period of not less than 5 years from the date of the grant.~~

~~The bill would require that amount allocated to the authority be expended for the purpose of constructing auxiliary lanes along State Highway Route 101, if those lanes are in conformance with the countywide adopted transportation plan.~~

~~Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$ majority. Appropriation: yes *no*. Fiscal committee: yes *no*. State-mandated local program: no.~~

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 ~~SECTION 1. The sum of two hundred twenty-five million~~
- 2 ~~SECTION 1. Section 16020.1 of the Vehicle Code is repealed.~~
- 3 ~~16020.1. (a) On and after January 1, 2004, Section 4000.37~~
- 4 ~~does not apply to vehicle owners with a residence address in the~~
- 5 ~~County of Los Angeles at the time of registration renewal.~~
- 6 ~~(b) On and after January 1, 2004, subdivisions (a) and (b) of~~
- 7 ~~Section 16028 do not apply to a person who drives a motor vehicle~~
- 8 ~~upon a highway in the County of Los Angeles.~~
- 9 ~~SEC. 2. Section 16020.2 of the Vehicle Code is repealed.~~
- 10 ~~16020.2. (a) On and after January 1, 2004, Section 4000.37~~
- 11 ~~does not apply to vehicle owners with a residence address in the~~
- 12 ~~City and County of San Francisco at the time of registration~~
- 13 ~~renewal.~~

~~(b) On and after January 1, 2004, subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 16028 do not apply to a person who drives a motor vehicle upon a highway in the City and County of San Francisco.~~

~~dollars (\$225,000,000) is hereby appropriated from the General Fund to the Director of Transportation for allocation as follows:~~

~~(a) One hundred fifty million dollars (\$150,000,000) to the governing board of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board, a joint powers agency duly formed pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code, by and between the San Mateo County Transit District, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, and the City and County of San Francisco, to be expended for the following purposes:~~

~~(1) To construct safety improvements along the right-of-way owned by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board, including, but not limited to, additional fencing, pedestrian and vehicular crossing arms, signage, lights, and structural modifications to existing pedestrian crossings along the right-of-way that are needed to prevent deaths and reduce injuries from pedestrian and vehicular accidents involving trains.~~

~~(2) To construct grade separations along the right-of-way owned by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board.~~

~~(3) To fund matching grants for operating or capital expenses for systems of conveyance that will move people from train stations to their places of employment. The Director of Transportation may not make an allocation for the purposes of this paragraph until the governing board of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board has secured commitments from local employers, property owners, local agencies, or any combination thereof, sufficient to sustain the system of conveyance funded under a matching grant for a period of not less than five years from the date of the grant.~~

~~(b) Seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority, to be expended for the purpose of constructing auxiliary lanes along State Highway Route 101, if those lanes are in conformance with the countywide adopted transportation plan.~~

O